

|   |                    |                                   |  |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| <b>Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary</b> | <b>Control No.</b> | <b>Patent Under Reexamination</b> |  |
|   | 09/127,571         | VARGHESE ET AL.                   |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>    | <b>Art Unit</b>                   |  |
|   | Khoa Tran          | 3634                              |  |

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

- (1) Khoa Tran (USPTO personnel) (3) David R. Clonts (applicant's rep)  
 (2) Daniel P. Stodola (USPTO personnel) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ patent owner 2) ☒ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  
 Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to..."

Claim(s) discussed: 22,27 and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Good et al. and Fall et al. ('505).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  
Applicants pointing out applicants' rail consists of three rail members, a bracket, an inner rail and an outer rail, that is not  
taught by Good et al. Applicants are to amend the claims accordingly in order to overcome the art of rejection, i.e., set  
forth the rails consisting of three rail members..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

cc: Requester (if third party requester)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required